



Ref No: _____

Date: _____

CENTRE FOR RESEARCH STUDIES (CRS)
KRISHNA UNIVERSITY
ANDHRA PRADESH
MACHILIPATNAM – 521004

CLAIM FORM TOWARDS HONORARIUM FOR EVALUATION OF Ph.D.THESIS

Name of the Examiner:

(IN BLOCK LETTERS)

Address of the Examiner:

Name of the Candidate:

Title of the Ph.D. Thesis:

Subject:

REMUNERATION PARTICULARS	AMOUNT
Remuneration to Foreign Examiner	USD 50
Remuneration to Indian Examiner	Rs. 1000/-
Postal Charges, If any	
Total	Rs.1000/-
Rupees (in words): One Thousand Only	

Signature of the examiner with date and seal

<i>Examiner's Bank Details for NEFT</i>	
Name as in Bank Records	:
Full account number and type	:
Name of the Bank and Branch Address:	
IFSC Code of the Bank	:
Swift Code (for Foreign Examiners only):	
Router No(for Foreign Examiners only)	



CENTRE FOR RESEARCH STUDIES (CRS)
KRISHNA UNIVERSITY
MACHILIPATNAM, A.P.-521001

Phone : 9885982321
E-mail: crs@kru.ac.in
Website: www.kru.ac.in

Fax: No. (08672) 225960, 225963

Ph.D. THESIS EVALUATION REPORT

Date:

To
DIRECTOR
CENTRE FOR RESEARCH STUDIES (CRS)
KRISHNA UNIVERSITY, MACHILIPATNAM-521004

Name of the Candidate	
Department	
Title of the Thesis	
Examiner's Name, Designation & Address	

INSTRUCTIONS:

Examiners are requested to comment on the extent to which the thesis meets the criteria for the award of the Ph.D (*Part A*), to detail any required amendments (*Part B*), to identify questions to be addressed in the oral examination (*Part C*), and provide any additional comments to the candidate (*Part D*). Examiners are requested to provide their evaluation of the submitted thesis by furnishing all the sections of this form and to provide recommendation regarding the award of the degree.

COMMUNICATION WITH EXAMINERS:

Examiners should address any questions regarding the thesis or the examination process to the Director, CRS through email: *crs@kru.ac.in* Examiners should not communicate in any form with either the candidate or members of the supervisory team regarding the examination process until that process is completed.

CONFIDENTIALITY AND EMBARGOES:

The thesis is considered to be confidential during the examination process. Unless there are special reasons, such as highly sensitive/confidential material in the thesis, the examiners are permitted to retain the copy of the thesis. After examination, application can be made to embargo a thesis for up to two years if it contains confidential and/or commercially sensitive material, or the candidate requires time to publish research reported in the thesis. Examiners should not distribute the thesis following the examination without prior permission from the candidate.

PART-A (DETAILED EVALUATION)

Kindly adjudicate the thesis under the following three heads *Quality, Originality* and *Presentation*, and grade each of statement under respective on a scale of **0-5** where

'5' stands for	"Excellent"
'4' stands for	"Very good"
'3' stands for	"Good"
'2' stands for	"satisfactory"
'1' stands for	"Poor"
'0' stands for	"Inferior"

a) QUALITY:

1. Introduction sets the stage for the entire study stimulating reader's interest in the topic of research []
2. Problem statement specifies the problem leading to the study. []
3. The purpose of the study is clear and focused on the central concept or idea being expressed. []
4. Critical literature review contains ample research studies related directly to the thesis or research questions developed in the introduction. []
5. Timeliness of work bridges the gap between theory and practice. []
6. Scope of the work is clear and focused []
7. The significance of study places the study within the larger context of the scholarly research. []
8. Technical soundness/Methodological rigor. []
9. Conclusions/summaries and recommendations of the study. []
10. Scope for further research in the field. []

b) ORIGINALITY:

11. Problem/Purpose is very creative or original with new and innovative ideas. []
12. Research design/Approach is appropriate and parsimonious. []
13. Adequacy of data and analysis. []
14. The conclusions are sound and justified. []
15. The study adds new findings, insights, or knowledge to the body of literature. []

c) PRESENTATION:

16. Clear explanation of the work. []
17. Narration is logical and coherent. []
18. Freedom from redundant/irrelevant material and errors. []
19. Orthography (methodology of writing a language). []
20. Organization of thesis in its scholarly presentation and format. []

Total score out of 100 (sum of score from 1 to 20) =
(Score in words -----)

Note: Kindly note that as per UGC norms the candidate should score minimum 50% **marks**

PART-B (REQUIRED AMENDMENTS)

Please provide a list of amendments/corrections to the written thesis that you require to be completed prior to the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Required amendments should be limited to changes that are necessary to ensure accuracy within the thesis and/or for the thesis to reach the standard necessary for the award of the PhD degree.

(In case there is no amendment/correction to the written thesis, kindly enclose a detailed report on a separate sheet of paper highlighting the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis that is most essential)

PART-C (QUESTIONS FOR THE ORAL EXAMINATION)

Please provide below any specific questions or topics to be addressed in the oral examination. The chair of the oral examination will ensure that questions specified by the examiner not present will be put to the candidate during the oral examination. Discussion in the oral examination will not be restricted to items here identified.

PART-D (ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FOR CANDIDATES)

RECOMMENDATION (please put \surd mark in the box)

I recommend that

- (a) the thesis be accepted for the award of Ph.D. degree in its present form.
- (b) the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy subject to satisfactory completion of specified amendments and/or satisfactory performance in the oral examination. *
- (c) the candidate be invited to revise and resubmit the thesis for re-examination prior to proceeding to an oral examination. **
- (d) the thesis be rejected ***

Signature of the Examiner with seal

Note:

- * Requested amendments may be minor (e.g., typographical/grammatical corrections) or more substantive. Amendments should be limited to changes necessary to ensure that the thesis meets the required standard for the award of the PhD. The Departmental Research Committee (DRC) of the Department concerned will verify and determine that amendments have been satisfactorily completed so as to be submitted for the award of the Ph.D.
- ** This option can be offered on one occasion only. It should be selected if the submitted thesis does not meet the standards (as specified in part-A) required for the award of the PhD but it is thought that a revised thesis could meet that standards. The original examiners will be asked to also examine the revised thesis prior to proceeding to viva-voce examination.
- *** This option should be selected if the submitted thesis does not meet the standards required for the award of the PhD.